

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,730	02/09/2004	Ed Downs	10030	9219
	7590 10/09/2007 EN ALCOBA, ESQ.		EXAMINER	
3399 NW 72 AVENUE		•	RICHMAN, GLENN E	
SUITE211 MIAMI, FL 33	122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3764	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$\checkmark \gamma$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/774,730	DOWNS, ED				
onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this assessment of	Glenn Richman	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau		o in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	e d .				
	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackowski.

Jackowski discloses providing a participant with an activity chart so that the participant can determine a fitness level fig. 2a;

Jackowski does not disclose assigning a daily cardio exercise fitness number to the participant that is based on the participant's fitness level, however, the same result is achieved without the number with Jackowski's chart, so it would have obvious to add a number to the chart indicating the level that is already indicated by Jackowski's chart, given no unexpected results. Jackowski's chart further indicated the exercise to be preformed, instructing the participant to perform cardio exercises a predetermined amount of days per week fig. 2a, the number of days being determined by the participant's fitness level fig. 2a; and instructing the participant to perform resistance exercises a predetermined amount of days per week fig. 2a, the number of days being determined by the participant's fitness level fig. 2a, evaluating the participant's dietary plan and combining it with the training program of the participant col. 6, lines 13-26,

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackowski in view of Fleming et al.

Jackowski does disclose the step of monitoring the participant's progress every six weeks and determining whether the participant is to be raised to a higher fitness level.

Fleming discloses the step of monitoring the participant's progress and determining whether the participant is to be raised to a higher fitness level. col. 2, lines 60 – et seq.

It would have obvious to use Fleming's step of monitoring with Jackowski, as it is well known in the art, as taught by Fleming, to use a step of monitoring, for determining an exerciser's progress and evaluating the level to be in the exercise program. The exact amount of time having no unexpected results.

Jackowski further discloses each fitness level has three sublevels, each sublevel gradually increasing the number of the cardio and the resistance exercise fitness number required per-day.

As for the various species requirements of claims 4-9, they are all obvious variants in view of Jackowski and Fleming.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Richman whose telephone number is 571-272-4981. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,730

Art Unit: 3764

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn Richman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764